### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

### **Requestor Name and Address**

USMD HOSPITAL AT ARLINGTON 801 WEST I-20 ARLINGTON TX 76017

**Respondent Name** 

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

**Carrier's Austin Representative Box** 

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

JUNE 24, 2013

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-13-2741-01

### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "We submitted a claim for inpatient services and we did not request separate reimbursement for implants, the claim should have allowed at 143% OF Medicare's DRG rate. I requested reconsideration for the underpayment and it denied for no additional payment after reconsideration according to the explanation of benefits. I included a copy of Medicare's DRG calculator from Revenue Cycle Pro that shows the amount for DRG 460 to be \$21,769.83 and at 143%; the expected reimbursement is \$31,130.85. The reimbursement received was \$30,107.19, which left a balance of \$1,023.66."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,023.66

### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The Medicare payment policy in effect on the disputed dates was this PRICER and not calculations derived from the Revenue Cycle Pro DRG Calculator."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 26, 2013 through February 28, 2013	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$1,023.66	\$1,023.66

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits

- CAC-W1-Workers compensation state fee schedule adjustment.
- CAC-97-The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- 217-The value of this procedure is included in the value of another procedure performed on this date.
- 305-The implant is included in this billing and is reimbursed at the higher percentage calculation.
- CAC-193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 468-Reimbursement is based on the medical hospital inpatient prospective payment system methodology.
- 891-No additional payment after reconsideration.

## <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
- 2. Which reimbursement calculation applies to the services in dispute?
- 3. What is the maximum allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

## **Findings**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
  - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
  - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."

No documentation was found to support the existence of a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute; therefore the MAR can be established under §134.404(f).

- 2. §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
  - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
    - (A) 143 percent; unless
    - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."

No documentation was found to support that the facility requested separate reimbursement for implantables; for that reason the MAR is calculated according to §134.404(f)(1)(A).

- 3. §134.404(f)(1)(A) establishes MAR by multiplying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors (including outliers) by 143%. Information regarding the calculation of Medicare IPPS payment rates may be found at <a href="http://www.cms.gov">http://www.cms.gov</a>. Documentation found supports that the DRG assigned to the services in dispute is 460, and that the services were provided at USMD Hospital at Arlington LP. Consideration of the DRG, location of the services, and bill-specific information results in a total Medicare facility specific allowable amount of \$21,774.04. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$31,136.87.
- 4. The division concludes that the total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$31,136.87. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$30,107.19. Based upon the documentation submitted, the difference between the MAR and amount paid is \$1,029.68. The requestor is seeking dispute resolution for \$1,023.66; this amount is recommended for additional reimbursement.

# **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due.

#### ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,023.66 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature		
		10/07/00/10
		<u> 10/25/2013</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.